Comparison of Refractive Predictability Between Third and Fourth Generation Intraocular Lens Formulas used in the DMEK Triple Procedure
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Table 1. Demographics & Refractive Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean Value (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean BSCVA at 6-months</td>
<td>-0.3 ± 0.4 D</td>
<td>-0.15 to -3.6 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted Spherical Equivalent</td>
<td>0 ± 1 D</td>
<td>95% ± 3 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved Spherical Equivalent</td>
<td>-0.84 ± 0.50 D</td>
<td>-0.09 to -3.00 D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Mean Difference

Figure 2. Scatter Plot of Predicted vs. Achieved 6-month SE Outcomes

Results (Continued)
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